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CONTEXT
CONTEXT

GUIDANCE BASIS

The sections that follow serve as an inventory of pedestrian and bicycle design treatments and provide guidelines for their development. These treatments and design guidelines are important because they represent the tools for creating a walking- and bicycle-friendly, safe, accessible community. The guidelines are not, however, a substitute for a more thorough evaluation by a professional upon implementation of facility improvements. The following standards and guidelines are referred to in this guide.

National Guidance


Offering similar guidance for bicycle facility design, the AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities (2012) provides guidance on dimensions, use, and layout of specific bicycle facilities.


The AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (2011) commonly referred to as the “Green Book,” contains the current design research and practices for highway and street geometric design.

Impact on Safety and Crashes

Walking and biking facilities can have a significant influence on user safety. The Federal Highway Administration’s (FHWA) Crash Modification Factor Clearinghouse (http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org/) is a web-based database of Crash Modification Factors (CMF) to help transportation engineers identify the most appropriate countermeasure for their safety needs. Where available and appropriate, CMFs or similar study results are included for each treatment.
California Guidance

The California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CaMUTCD) (2014) is an amended version of the FHWA MUTCD 2009 edition modified for use in California. While standards presented in the CA MUTCD substantially conform to the FHWA MUTCD, the state of California follows local practices, laws and requirements with regards to signing, striping and other traffic control devices.

The California Highway Design Manual (HDM) (Updated 2015) establishes uniform policies and procedures to carry out highway design functions for the California Department of Transportation.

Complete Intersections: A Guide to Reconstructing Intersections and Interchanges for Bicyclists and Pedestrians (2010) is a reference guide presents information and concepts related to improving conditions for bicyclists and pedestrians at major intersections and interchanges. The guide can be used to inform minor signage and striping changes to intersections, as well as major changes and designs for new intersections.

Main Street, California: A Guide for Improving Community and Transportation Vitality (2013) reflects California’s current manuals and policies that improve multimodal access, livability and sustainability within the transportation system. The guide recognizes the overlapping and sometimes competing needs of main streets.

The Caltrans Memo: Design Flexibility in Multimodal Design (2014) encourages flexibility in highway design. The memo stated that “Publications such as the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) “Urban Street Design Guide” and “Urban Bikeway Design Guide,” ... are resources that Caltrans and local entities can reference when making planning and design decisions on the State highway system and local streets and roads.”
CONTEXT

FACILITY SELECTION

Selecting the best bikeway facility type for a given roadway can be challenging, due to the range of factors that influence bicycle users’ comfort and safety. There is a significant impact on cycling comfort when the speed differential between bicyclists and motor vehicle traffic is high and motor vehicle traffic volumes are high.

Facility Selection Table

As a starting point to identify a preferred facility, the chart below can be used to determine the recommended type of bikeway to be provided in particular roadway speed and volume situations. To use this chart, identify the appropriate daily traffic volume and travel speed on or the existing or proposed roadway, and locate the facility types indicated by those key variables.

Other factors beyond speed and volume which affect facility selection include traffic mix of automobiles and heavy vehicles, mix and volume of pedestrians, the presence of on-street parking, intersection density, surrounding land use, and roadway sight distance. These factors are not included in the facility selection chart below, but should always be considered in the facility selection and design process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY TYPE</th>
<th>STREET CLASS</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15+</th>
<th>20+</th>
<th>25+</th>
<th>30+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BICYCLE BOULEVARD</td>
<td>LOCAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COLLECTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARED ROADWAY</td>
<td>LOCAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COLLECTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON-STREET BIKE LANE</td>
<td>COLLECTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUFFERED BIKE LANE</td>
<td>COLLECTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPARATED BIKEWAY</td>
<td>COLLECTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARED USE PATH</td>
<td>COLLECTOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARTERIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC (1,000 veh/day or 100 veh/peak hr)

POSTED OR 85TH PERCENTILE TRAVEL SPEED (mph)
**CONTEXT**

**BICYCLIST USER TYPE**

The current AASHTO Guide to the Development of Bicycle Facilities encourages designers to identify their rider type based on the trip purpose (Recreational vs Transportation) and on the level of comfort and skill of the rider (Causal vs Experienced). An alternate research based* classification system identifies four categories to address varying attitudes towards bicycling in the US. This system is illustrated in the figure below.

---

**Four Types of Transportation Bicyclists**

**Strong and Fearless** (approximately 1% of population) - Characterized by bicyclists that will typically ride anywhere regardless of roadway conditions or weather. These bicyclists can ride faster than other user types, prefer direct routes and will typically choose roadway connections -- even if shared with vehicles -- over separate bicycle facilities such as shared-use paths.

**Enthused and Confident** (5-10% of population) - This user group encompasses bicyclists who are fairly comfortable riding on all types of bikeways but usually choose low traffic streets or shared-use paths when available. These bicyclists may deviate from a more direct route in favor of a preferred facility type. This group includes all kinds of bicyclists such as commuters, recreationalists, racers and utilitarian bicyclists.

**Interested but Concerned** (approximately 60% of population) - This user type comprises the bulk of the cycling population and represents bicyclists who typically only ride a bicycle on low traffic streets or shared-use paths under favorable weather conditions. These bicyclists perceive significant barriers to their increased use of cycling, specifically traffic and other safety issues. These people may become “Enthused & Confident” with encouragement, education and experience.

**No Way, No How** (approximately 30% of population) - Persons in this category are not bicyclists, and perceive severe safety issues with riding in traffic. Some people in this group may eventually become more regular cyclists with time and education. A significant portion of these people will not ride a bicycle under any circumstances.

---

**CONCEPT**

**DESIGN NEEDS OF BICYCLISTS**

The facility designer must have an understanding of how bicyclists operate and how their bicycle influences that operation. Bicyclists, by nature, are much more affected by poor facility design, construction and maintenance practices than motor vehicle drivers. By understanding the unique characteristics and needs of bicyclists, a facility designer can provide quality facilities and minimize user risk.

---

**Similar to motor vehicles, bicyclists and their bicycles exist in a variety of sizes and configurations. These variations occur in the types of vehicle (such as a conventional bicycle, a recumbent bicycle or a tricycle), and behavioral characteristics (such as the comfort level of the bicyclist). The design of a bikeway should consider reasonably expected bicycle types on the facility and utilize the appropriate dimensions.**

The figure to the right illustrates the operating space and physical dimensions of a typical adult bicyclist, which are the basis for typical facility design. Bicyclists require clear space to operate within a facility. This is why the minimum operating width is greater than the physical dimensions of the bicyclist. Bicyclists prefer five feet or more operating width, although four feet may be minimally acceptable.

In addition to the design dimensions of a typical bicycle, there are many other commonly used pedal-driven cycles and accessories to consider when planning and designing bicycle facilities. The most common types include tandem bicycles, recumbent bicycles, and trailer accessories. The figure to the left summarizes the typical dimensions for bicycle types.
**Bicycle Design Vehicle - Typical Dimensions**

A: Adult Typical Bicycle  
B: Adult Tandem Bicycle  
C: Adult Recumbent Bicycle  
D: Child Trailer Length  
E: Child Trailer Width  
F: Trailer Bike Length


**Design Speed Expectations**

The expected speed that different types of bicyclists can maintain under various conditions also influences the design of facilities such as shared use paths. The table to the right provides typical bicyclist speeds of casual bicyclists for a variety of conditions.

**Bicycle as Design Vehicle - Design Speed Expectations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bicycle Type</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Typical Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upright Adult Bicyclist</strong></td>
<td>Paved level surfacing</td>
<td>8-12 mph*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crossing Intersections</td>
<td>10 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downhill</td>
<td>30 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uphill</td>
<td>5-12 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recumbent Bicyclist</strong></td>
<td>Paved level surfacing</td>
<td>18 mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Typical speed for casual riders per AASHTO 2013.
CONTEXT

DESIGN NEEDS OF PEDESTRIANS

The MUTCD recommends a normal walking speed of 3.5 feet per second when calculating the pedestrian clearance interval at traffic signals. The walking speed can drop to 3 feet per second for areas with older populations and persons with mobility impairments. While the type and degree of mobility impairment varies greatly across the population, the transportation system should accommodate these users to the greatest reasonable extent.

Pedestrian Characteristics by Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>Learning to walk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires constant adult supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developing peripheral vision and depth perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>Increasing independence, but still requires supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor depth perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13</td>
<td>Susceptible to “darting out” in roadways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insufficient judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense of invulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>Improved awareness of traffic environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insufficient judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-40</td>
<td>Active, aware of traffic environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-65</td>
<td>Slowing of reflexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>Difficulty crossing street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vision loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty hearing vehicles approaching from behind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pedestrians have a variety of characteristics and the transportation network should accommodate a variety of needs, abilities, and possible impairments. Age is one major factor that affects pedestrians’ physical characteristics, walking speed, and environmental perception. Children have low eye height and walk at slower speeds than adults. They also perceive the environment differently at various stages of their cognitive development. Older adults walk more slowly and may require assistive devices for walking stability, sight, and hearing. The table below summarizes common pedestrian characteristics for various age groups.

### Disabled Pedestrian Design Considerations

The table below summarizes common physical and cognitive impairments, how they affect personal mobility, and recommendations for improved pedestrian-friendly design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impairment</th>
<th>Effect on Mobility</th>
<th>Design Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necessitating Wheelchair and</td>
<td>Difficulty propelling over uneven or soft surfaces.</td>
<td>Firm, stable surfaces and structures, including ramps or beveled edges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scooter Use</td>
<td>Cross-slopes cause wheelchairs to veer downhill or tip sideways.</td>
<td>Cross-slopes of less than two percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Require wider path of travel.</td>
<td>Sufficient width and maneuvering space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Impairment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necessitating Walking Aid Use</td>
<td>Difficulty negotiating steep grades and cross slopes; decreased stability and tripping hazard.</td>
<td>Cross-slopes of less than two percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slower walking speed and reduced endurance; reduced ability to react.</td>
<td>Smooth, non-slippery travel surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Longer pedestrian signal cycles, shorter crossing distances, median refuges, and street furniture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing Impairment</td>
<td>Less able to detect oncoming hazards at locations with limited sight lines (e.g. driveways, angled intersections, channelized right turn lanes) and complex intersections.</td>
<td>Longer pedestrian signal cycles, clear sight distances, highly visible pedestrian signals and markings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision Impairment</td>
<td>Limited perception of path ahead and obstacles; reliance on memory; reliance on non-visual indicators (e.g. sound and texture).</td>
<td>Accessible text (larger print and raised text), accessible pedestrian signals (APS), guide strips and detectable warning surfaces, safety barriers, and lighting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Impairment</td>
<td>Varies greatly. Can affect ability to perceive, recognize, understand, interpret, and respond to information.</td>
<td>Signs with pictures, universal symbols, and colors, rather than text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PEDESTRIAN CROSSING LOCATION AND FACILITY SELECTION

The specific type of treatment at a crossing may range from a simple marked crosswalk to full traffic signals or grade separated crossings. Crosswalk lines should not be used indiscriminately, and appropriate selection of crossing treatments should be evaluated in an engineering study should be performed before a marked crosswalk is installed. The engineering study should consider the number of lanes, the presence or lack of a median, the distance from adjacent signalized intersections, the pedestrian volumes and delays, the average daily traffic (ADT), the posted or statutory speed limit or 85th-percentile speed, the geometry of the location, the possible consolidation of multiple crossing points, the availability of street lighting, and other appropriate factors.
Midblock Crossings

Midblock crossings are an important street design element for pedestrians. They can provide a legal crossing at locations where pedestrians want to travel, and can be safer than crossings at intersections because traffic is only moving in two directions. Locations where midblock crossings should be considered include:

- Long blocks (longer than 600 ft) with destinations on both sides of the street.
- Locations with heavy pedestrian traffic, such as schools, shopping centers.
- Midblock transit stops, where transit riders must cross the street on one leg of their journey.

Crossing Treatment Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEDESTRIAN CROSSING CONTEXTUAL GUIDANCE</th>
<th>Local Streets 15-25 mph</th>
<th>Collector Streets 25-30 mph</th>
<th>Arterial Streets 30-45 mph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FACILITY TYPE</td>
<td>2 lane</td>
<td>3 lane</td>
<td>2 lane with median refuge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Crosswalk Only (high visibility)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>EJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Crosswalk with warning signage and yield lines</td>
<td>EJ</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Stop Sign Controlled</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>EJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Active Warning Beacon (RRFB)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>EJ</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Hybrid Beacon</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>EJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Full Traffic Signal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>EJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Grade separation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>EJ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEGEND**

- **Most Desirable** ✓
- **Engineering Judgement** EJ
- **Not Recommended** X
This page intentionally blank
Crossings are potential conflict points between pedestrians and vehicles and thus require design treatments that set clear expectations for all roadway users. Safe crossings, especially along high-traffic, multi-lane thoroughfares, helps reduce barriers for walking and encourages greater pedestrian activity.
PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SIDEWALK ZONES AND WIDTHS

Sidewalks are the most fundamental element of the walking network, as they provide an area for pedestrian travel separated from vehicle traffic. Providing adequate and accessible facilities can lead to increased numbers of people walking, improved safety, and the creation of social space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking Lane/Enhancement Zone</th>
<th>Furnishing Zone</th>
<th>Pedestrian Through Zone</th>
<th>Frontage Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The parking lane can act as a flexible space to further buffer the sidewalk from moving traffic. Curb extensions and bike corrals may occupy this space where appropriate.</td>
<td>The furnishing zone buffers pedestrians from the adjacent roadway, and is also the area where elements such as street trees, signal poles, signs, and other street furniture are properly located.</td>
<td>The through zone is the area intended for pedestrian travel. This zone should be entirely free of permanent and temporary objects. Wide through zones are needed in downtown areas or where pedestrian flows are high.</td>
<td>The Frontage Zone allows pedestrians a comfortable “shy” distance from the building fronts. It provides opportunities for window shopping, to place signs, planters, or chairs. Not applicable if adjacent to a landscaped space.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the edge zone there should be a 6 inch wide curb.
**Typical Application**

- Sidewalks should be provided on both sides of urban commercial streets, and should be required in areas of moderate residential density. (1-4 dwelling units per acre).
- When retrofitting gaps in the sidewalk network, locations near transit stops, schools, parks, public buildings, and other areas with high concentrations of pedestrians should be the highest priority.

**Design Features**

- It is important to provide adequate width along a sidewalk corridor. A pedestrian through zone width of six feet enables two pedestrians (including wheelchair users) to walk side-by-side, or to pass each other comfortably.
- In areas of high demand, sidewalks should contain adequate width to accommodate the high volumes and different walking speeds of pedestrians.
- Appropriate placement of street trees in the furnishing zone (minimum width 4 feet) helps buffer pedestrians from the travel lane and increases facility comfort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Classification</th>
<th>Parking Lane/Enhancement Zone</th>
<th>Furnishing Zone</th>
<th>Pedestrian Through Zone</th>
<th>Frontage Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Streets</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>2 - 5 feet</td>
<td>6 feet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown and Pedestrian Priority Areas</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>4 - 6 feet</td>
<td>12 feet</td>
<td>2.5 - 10 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterials and Collectors</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>2 - 6 feet</td>
<td>6 - 8 feet</td>
<td>2.5 - 5 feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Further Considerations**

- The Americans with Disabilities Act requires a 3 foot clear width in the pedestrian zone plus 5 foot passing areas every 200 feet.
- The clear width may be reduced to a minimum of 32 inches for short, constrained segments of up to 24 inches long, provided that constrained segments are separated by regular clear width segments that are a minimum of 48 inches long and 36 inches wide.
- Providing a 6 foot clear width across the full corridor for all new sidewalks (and 12 feet or greater in downtown and pedestrian-priority areas) meets requirements for passing and maneuverability.
- Existing deficient-width sidewalks are to be retrofitted to meet citywide standards.

**Crash Reduction**
There are no Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) available for this treatment.

**Construction Costs**
The cost of building sidewalks vary based on the location, type of material, the scale, and whether it is part of a broader street construction project. A five-foot concrete sidewalk is approximately $32 per linear foot on average, with the additional cost of new curbs and drainage likely to be substantially higher.
PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

MARKED CROSSWALKS

A marked crosswalk signals to motorists that they must stop for pedestrians and encourages pedestrians to cross at designated locations. Installing crosswalks alone will not necessarily make crossings safer especially on multi-lane roadways. At mid-block locations, crosswalks can be marked where there is a demand for crossing and there are no nearby marked crosswalks.

Typical Application

All crosswalks should be marked at signalized intersections. At unsignalized intersections, crosswalks may be marked under the following conditions:

- At a complex intersection, to orient pedestrians in finding their way across.
- At an offset intersection, to show pedestrians the shortest route across traffic with the least exposure to vehicular traffic and traffic conflicts.
- At an intersection with visibility constraints, to position pedestrians where they can best be seen by oncoming traffic.
- At an intersection within a school zone on a walking route.

Design Features

- The crosswalk should be located to align as closely as possible with the through pedestrian zone of the sidewalk corridor.
- The landing at the top of a ramp shall be at least 4 feet long and at least the same width as the ramp itself.
- The ramp shall slope no more than 8.33%, with a maximum cross slope of 2.0%.
- If the ramp runs directly into a crosswalk, the landing at the bottom will be in the roadway.
- If the ramp lands on a dropped landing within the sidewalk or corner area where someone in a wheelchair may have to change direction, the landing must be a minimum of 5'-0" long and at least as wide as the ramp itself.
Marked Crosswalks

Marked crosswalks are used to raise driver awareness of pedestrian and pathway crossings and help direct users to preferred crossing locations.

Further Considerations

Continental crosswalk markings should be used at crossings with high pedestrian use or where vulnerable pedestrians are expected, including: school crossings, across arterial streets for pedestrian-only signals, at mid-block crosswalks, and at intersections where there is expected high pedestrian use and the crossing is not controlled by signals or stop signs. High-visibility crosswalks are not appropriate for all locations. See intersection signalization for a discussion of enhancing pedestrian crossings.

Because the effectiveness of marked crossings depends entirely on their visibility, maintaining marked crossings should be a high priority. Thermoplastic markings offer increased durability than conventional paint.

The City of Concord prohibits omitting or removing a marked crosswalk at intersections in order to require a three-stage pedestrian crossing. Intersections with three-stage crossings lead to arduous and increased crossing distances, pedestrian frustration, encourages jaywalking, and exhibits modal bias favoring motor vehicle level-of-service over other modes.

Crash Reduction

At an unsignalized four-leg intersection with no marked crosswalks and stop control for the minor street, installing markings to facilitate crossing of a major street reduced crash likelihood by 65% (CMF ID: 3019). The number of travel lanes for the major street ranged from two to eight.

Construction Costs

Marked crosswalks range from approximately $100 to 2,100 each, or around $800 on average. High-visibility crosswalks, such as Continental-style crossings, can range from $600 to $5,700 each, or around $2,500 on average.
GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Green infrastructure treats and slows runoff from impervious surface areas, such as roadways, sidewalks, and buildings. Sustainable stormwater strategies may include bioretention swales, rain gardens, tree box filters, and pervious pavements (pervious concrete, asphalt and pavers). Bioswales are natural landscape elements that manage water runoff from a paved surface, reducing the risks of erosion or flooding of local streams and creeks, which can threaten natural habitats. Plants in the swale trap pollutants and silt from entering a river system.

**Typical Application**

- Install in areas without conventional stormwater systems that are prone to flooding to improve drainage and reduce costs compared to installing traditional gutter and drainage systems.
- Use green infrastructure to provide an ecological and aesthetic enhancement of traditional traffic speed and volume control measures, such as along a bicycle boulevard corridor.
- Bioswales and rain gardens are appropriate at curb extensions and along planting strips.
- Street trees and plantings can be placed in medians, chicanes, and other locations.
- Pervious pavers can be used along sidewalks, street furniture zones, parking lanes, gutter strips, or entire roadways. They are not likely to provide traffic calming benefit on bicycle boulevards.

**Further Considerations**

**Bioswales**

Engineering judgment and surrounding street context should be used when selecting the permeable surface, whether it is pavers, concrete or asphalt. Some decorative pavers may be more appropriate for bicycle and/or pedestrians areas due to the potential for shifting under heavy loads.

**Pervious Pavement**

The edge of the swale should be flush with the grade to accommodate sheetflow runoff, with a minimum 2-inch drop between the street grade and the finished grade of the facility. Where there are curbs, cut-outs at least 18 inches wide should be provided intermittently (3-15 feet apart) to allow runoff to enter and be treated. Low curbs, barriers, and/or hardy vegetative ground covers can be used to discourage pedestrian trampling.
Green Infrastructure such as bioswales and rain gardens helps manage stormwater while improving the aesthetic appearance of pedestrian and bicycle facilities.

**Design Features**

**Bioswales**

Bioswales are shallow depressions with vegetation designed to capture, treat, and infiltrate stormwater runoff by reducing velocity and purifying the water while recharging the underlying groundwater table.

In order to meet the minimum criteria for infiltration rates, bioswales are designed to pass 5-10 inches of rain water per hour. The overflow/bypass drain system should be approximately 6 inches above the soil surface to manage heavier rainfall.

Bioswales have a typical side slope of 4:1 (maximum 3:1) to allow water to move along the surface and settles out sediments and pollutants.

**Pervious Pavement**

In areas where landscaping such as swales are less desired or feasible, pervious pavement can also effectively capture and treat stormwater runoff.

The desired storage volume and intended drain time is determined by the depth of the pervious layer, void space, and the infiltration rate of underlying soils. An underdrain system must be used to treat overflow, or drain excess runoff to the municipal sewer system, and allow the facility to drain within 48 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash Reduction</th>
<th>Construction Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To the extent that any associated traffic calming reduces the likelihood of crashes, green infrastructure can have a positive impact on roadway safety.</td>
<td>Bioswales range from $5.50-$24/square foot depending on the type of facility, with $15/square foot representing a typical rate.*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Permeable pavers can range from $5.30/square foot for pavers on the low end to $11.60/square foot for concrete on the high end. The average cost tends to be around $6-7/square foot.

PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE
CURB EXTENSIONS

Curb extensions minimize pedestrian exposure during crossing by shortening crossing distance and giving pedestrians a better chance to see and be seen before committing to crossing.

**Design Features**

- **A** For purposes of efficient street sweeping, the minimum radius for the reverse curves of the transition is 10 ft and the two radii should be balanced to be nearly equal.
- **B** When a bike lane is present, the curb extensions should terminate one foot short of the parking lane to maximize bicyclist safety.
- **C** Reduces pedestrian crossing distance by 6-8 ft.
- Planted curb extensions may be designed as a bioswale for stormwater management.

**Typical Application**

- Within parking lanes appropriate for any crosswalk where it is desirable to shorten the crossing distance and there is a parking lane adjacent to the curb.
- May be possible within non-travel areas on roadways with excess space.
- Particularly helpful at midblock crossing locations.
- Curb extensions should not impede bicycle travel in the absence of a bike lane.

**Construction Costs**

The cost of a curb extension can range from $2,000 to $20,000 depending on the design and site condition, with the typical cost approximately $12,000. Green/vegetated curb extensions cost between $10,000 to $40,000.

**Crash Reduction**

There are no Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) available for this treatment.
**PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE**

**MEDIAN REFUGE ISLAND**

Median refuge islands are located at the mid-point of a marked crossing and help improve pedestrian safety by allowing pedestrians to cross one direction of traffic at a time. Refuge islands minimize pedestrian exposure by shortening crossing distance and increasing the number of available gaps for crossing.

### Typical Application

- Can be applied on any roadway with a left turn center lane or median that is at least 6’ wide.
- May be appropriate on multi-lane roadways depending on speeds and volumes. Consider configuration with active warning beacons for improved yielding compliance.
- Appropriate at signalized or unsignalized crosswalks. Where unsignalized, Caltrans encourages refuge areas where pedestrians cross 2 or more through traffic lanes in one direction (HDM).

### Design Features

- The island must be accessible, preferably with at-grade passage through the island rather than ramps and landings. Detectable warning surfaces must be full-width and 3’ deep to warn blind pedestrians (DIB 82-05, 2013).
- Requires 6’ width between travel lanes (8-10’ preferred to accommodate bikes with trailers and wheelchair users) and 20’ length (40’ preferred). Clear width of 4’ required, but preferably same width as crosswalk.
- On streets with speeds higher than 25 mph, there should also be double centerline marking, reflectors, and “KEEP RIGHT” signage.

### Crash Reduction

Based on a comparison of crash rates on arterials with 3 to 8 lanes and minimum 15,000 ADT, median refuge islands were found to reduce vehicle/pedestrian collisions by 46% at marked crosswalks (CMF ID: 75). This test controlled for pedestrian and vehicular traffic volumes.

### Construction Costs

The cost to install median refuge islands range from $535 to $1,065 per foot for a typical total cost range from $3,500 to $40,000, depending on the design, site conditions, landscaping and whether the median can be added as part of a larger street rebuild or utility upgrade.
DRIVEWAYS

Driveways provide automobile access to private property but can also cause conflicts with pedestrians using the sidewalk at that location. There are generally two types of driveway designs: intersection-type and commercial-type. Commercial-type driveways maintain the sidewalk across the intersection which compels motorists to slow down before crossing. Intersection-type can compromise pedestrian safety and comfort due to the ability for motorists to negotiate turns at higher speeds and the lack of defined right-of-way.

Typical Application

- The City of Concord requires driveways to be located at least 60 feet away from an intersection and separated at least 28 feet from other driveways.
- Appropriate for all private accessways that cross sidewalks.
- Ideal for commercial business districts with high pedestrian activity and slower travel lanes.
- Right-in/right-out restrictions reduce points of conflict between modes.
- Traffic signals may be considered where turning movements are very high.

Design Features

- Sidewalk maintains grade and material across the driveway to reinforce pedestrian right-of-way. Cross slope (driveway grade) should be no greater than 2 percent.
- Increase curb radius to reduce vehicle speeds and pedestrian crossing distance (10-25’ recommended based on site activity and street context).
- Minimize driveway widths to reduce crossing distance and accommodate entering and exiting vehicles.
- Where turning volumes are high, right-turn channelization removes slower turning vehicles from main flow of traffic, improving motorist yield compliance.
PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

**ADA COMPLIANT CURB RAMPS**

Curb ramps are the design elements that allow all users to make the transition from the street to the sidewalk. There are a number of factors to be considered in the design and placement of curb ramps at corners. Properly designed curb ramps ensure that the sidewalk is accessible from the roadway. A sidewalk without a curb ramp can be useless to someone in a wheelchair, forcing them back to a driveway and out into the street for access.

Curb ramps shall be located so that they do not project into vehicular traffic lanes, parking spaces, or parking access aisles. Three configurations are illustrated below.

Parallel Curb Ramp

Perpendicular Curb Ramp

Diagonal Curb Ramp (not preferred)

Crosswalk spacing not to scale. For illustration purposes only.

**Typical Application**

- Curb ramps are used to assist people with mobility devices to cross the street at intersections. They also accommodate individuals with strollers, bicycles, carts and strollers.

- ADA requires all new and rebuilt curb ramps to provide accessibility for people with disabilities, including blind pedestrians.

**Design Features**

- The landing at the top of a ramp shall be at least 4 feet long and at least the same width as the ramp itself.

- The ramp shall slope no more than 1:12, with a maximum cross slope of 2.0%.

- If the ramp runs directly into a crosswalk, the landing at the bottom will be in the roadway.

- If the ramp lands on a dropped landing within the sidewalk or corner area where someone in a wheelchair may have to change direction, the landing must be a minimum of 5'-0" long and at least as wide as the ramp, although a width of 5'-0" is preferred.

Diagonal ramps shall include a clear space of at least 48" within the crosswalk for user maneuverability.
PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

**PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL STRATEGIES**
Enhancements may be made to signalized intersections to reduce pedestrian-vehicle conflicts and increase user comfort and usability.

**Considerations**
Pedestrian-vehicle conflicts can occur when drivers performing turning movements across the crosswalk do not see or yield to pedestrians who have the right-of-way. Pedestrians may also arrive at an intersection late, or may not have any indication of how much time they have to safely cross the intersection. Signal enhancements should be considered at locations with a history of crash risk, long crossing distances, or large volumes of turning traffic.

Adequate pedestrian crossing time is a critical element of the walking environment at signalized intersections. The length of a signal phase with parallel pedestrian movements should provide sufficient time for a pedestrian to safely cross the adjacent street. The MUTCD recommends a walking speed of 3.5 ft per second. At crossings where older pedestrians or pedestrians with disabilities are expected, crossing speeds as low as 3 ft per second should be assumed.

**Design Features**
- Countdown signals should be used at all new and rehabbed signalized intersections.
- Leading Pedestrian Intervals (LPI) give pedestrians a head start into the intersection, which can reduce right turn and permissive left turn vehicle and pedestrian conflicts.
- An exclusive pedestrian phase (known as Pedestrian Scramble or Barnes Dance), stops all traffic and gives pedestrians the right-of-way in all directions (including diagonally). This is most appropriate in locations with very high pedestrian volumes.
- Audible pedestrian signals make signals accessible by individuals with visual disabilities by providing audible tones or verbal messages to convey when it is appropriate to walk, when they must wait, and feedback when the signal has been actuated via pushbutton.
PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL ACTUATION

Pedestrian signals can be actuated either manually using a pedestrian push button, passively using automated detection equipment, or automatically during each signal cycle using pedestrian recall.

Typical Application

- Manual activation of pedestrian signals is performed with a pedestrian push button. This requires the pedestrian to locate and press the pushbutton to actuate the pedestrian signal phase. The decision to install pushbuttons, should take into account pedestrian accessibility needs and pedestrian volumes.

- Passive detection uses a variety of automated detection equipment, including microwave and infrared detectors, to automatically detect the presence of pedestrians. This can provide the convenience of automatic recall with the traffic flow benefits of pushbutton actuation.

- Automatic pedestrian recall provides a pedestrian walk phase during every cycle. This makes pedestrian crossings predictable, minimizes unnecessary pedestrian delay, and does not create uncertainty over whether a pedestrian has been detected.

Design Features

The minimum walk interval time is 7 seconds. The walk and pedestrian clearance times can be adjusted to account for the elderly, wheelchair users, and visually-disabled people who typically need more time to cross. The walk time can be calculated based on a slower walking speed, 2.8 fps - 3.0 fps, and/or a longer crossing distance from pushbutton-to-far curbside (or pushbutton-to-pushbutton), instead of curb-to-curb.

A pushbutton outfitted with a pilot or indicator light and/or audible/vibrotactile feedback acknowledges that the pedestrian call has been placed, reassuring the pedestrian that they have been detected.

Pedestrian push buttons can be configured to provide additional crossing time when they arrive at the crossing during the flashing don’t walk interval. The CAMUTCD requires signage indicating the walk time extension at or adjacent to the push button (R10-32P).
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A shared use path allows for two-way, off-street bicycle use and also may be used by pedestrians, skaters, wheelchair users, joggers and other non-motorized users. These facilities are frequently found in parks, along rivers, beaches, and in greenbelts or utility corridors where there are few conflicts with motorized vehicles. Path facilities can also include amenities such as lighting, signage, and fencing.
A Shared use paths can provide a desirable facility, particularly for recreation, and users of all skill levels preferring separation from traffic. Bicycle paths should generally provide directional travel opportunities not provided by existing roadways.

**Typical Application**

- Commonly established in natural greenway corridors, utility corridors, or along abandoned rail corridors.
- May be established as short accessways through neighborhoods or to connect to cul-de-sacs.
- May be established along roadways as an alternative on on-street riding. This configuration is called a sidepath.

**Design Features**

- Recommended 10’ width to accommodate moderate usage (14’ preferred for heavy use). Minimum 8’ width for low traffic situations only.
- Minimum 2’ shoulder width on both sides of the path, with an additional foot of lateral clearance as required by the MUTCD for the installation of signage or other furnishings.
- Recommended 10’ clearance to overhead obstructions (8’ minimum).
- When striping is required, use a 4” dashed yellow centerline stripe with 4” solid white edge lines. Solid centerlines can be provided on tight or blind corners, and on the approaches to roadway crossings.
BOLLARD ALTERNATIVES

Bollards are physical barriers designed to restrict motor vehicle access to the multi-use path. Unfortunately, physical barriers are often ineffective at preventing access, and create obstacles to legitimate trail users. Alternative design strategies use signage, landscaping and curb cut design to reduce the likelihood of motor vehicle access.

Typical Application

- Bollards or other barriers should not be used unless there is a documented history of unauthorized intrusion by motor vehicles.
- If unauthorized use persists, assess whether the problems posed by unauthorized access exceed the risks and issues posed by bollards and other barriers.

Design Features

- **“No Motor Vehicles” signage (MUTCD R5-3) may be used to reinforce access rules.**
- **At intersections, split the path tread into two sections separated by low landscaping.**
- **Vertical curb cuts should be used to discourage motor vehicle access.**
- **Low landscaping preserves visibility and emergency access.**
ON-STREET BICYCLE LANES

RAISED PATH CROSSINGS

The California Vehicle Code requires that motorists yield right-of-way to pedestrians within crosswalks. This requirement for motorists to yield is not explicitly extended to bicyclists, and the rights and responsibilities for bicyclists within crosswalks is ambiguous. Where shared-use paths intersect with minor streets, design solutions such as raised crossings help resolve this ambiguity where possible by giving people on bicycles priority within the crossing.

**Typical Application**
- Where highly utilized shared-use paths cross minor streets.
- Where safety and comfort of path users at crossings is prioritized over vehicular traffic.

**Design Features**

A. Raised crossing creates vertical deflection that slows drivers and prepares them to yield to path users, while high-visibility crosswalk markings establish a legal crosswalk away from intersections.

B. Median refuge island creates horizontal deflection to draw driver attention to changed conditions at the crossing.

C. Bulbouts shorten crossing distance and position users in a visible location.

D. Parking should be prohibited 20 feet in advance of the crosswalk.

E. Path priority signing (MUTCD R1-5 or R1-2) and stop or yield markings are placed 20 feet in advance of the crossing and function best when path user volumes are high.
Concord Safe Routes to Transit - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design Guide

Raised Path Crossings

**Construction Costs**

- Striped crosswalks costs range from approximately $100 to $2,100 each.
- Curb extension costs can range from $2,000 to $20,000, depending on the design and site condition.
- Median refuge islands costs range from $3,500 to $40,000, depending on the design, site conditions, and landscaping.

Further Considerations

- Geometric design should promote a high degree of yielding to path users through raised crossings, horizontal deflection, signing, and striping.
- The approach to designing path crossings of streets depends on an evaluation of vehicular traffic, line of sight, pathway traffic, use patterns, vehicle speed, road type, road width, and other safety issues such as proximity to major attractions.
- Raised crossings should raise 4 inches above the roadway with a steep 1:6 (16%) ramp. The raise should use a sinusoidal profile to facilitate snow plow operation. Advisory speed signs may be used to indicate the required slow crossing speed.
- A median safety island should allow path users to cross one lane of traffic at a time. The bicycle waiting area should be 8 feet wide or wider to allow for a variety of bicycle types.

Crash Reduction

Studies have shown a 45% decrease in vehicle/pedestrian crashes after a raised crosswalk is installed where none existed previously. (CMF ID: 136)

This raised path crossing encourages drivers to yield to pedestrians and allows bicyclists to cross traffic one lane at a time.
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Designated exclusively for bicycle travel, on-street bicycle lanes are separated from vehicle travel lanes by striping, and can include pavement stencils and other treatments. On-street bicycle lanes are most appropriate on collector streets with single-lane of traffic in each direction where moderate traffic volumes and speeds are too high for shared-roadway use.
ON-STREET BICYCLE LANES

BICYCLE LANES

On-street bike lanes (Class II Bikeways) designate an exclusive space for bicyclists through the use of pavement markings and signage. The bike lane is located directly adjacent to motor vehicle travel lanes and is used in the same direction as motor vehicle traffic. Bike lanes are typically on the right side of the street, between the adjacent travel lane and curb, road edge or parking lane.

Typical Application

- Streets with moderate volumes ≥ 6,000 ADT (≥ 3,000 preferred).
- Streets with moderate speeds ≥ 25 mph.
- Appropriate for skilled adult riders on most streets.
- May be appropriate for children when configured as 6+ ft wide lanes on lower-speed, lower-volume streets with one lane in each.

Design Features

A. Mark inside line with 6”stripe. (CAMUTCD 9C.04) Mark 4” parking lane line or “Ts”.
B. Include a bicycle lane marking (CAMUTCD Figure 9C-3) at the beginning of blocks and at regular intervals along the route. (CAMUTCD 9C.04)
C. 6 foot width preferred adjacent to on-street parking, (5 foot min.) (HDM)
D. 5–6 foot preferred adjacent to curb and gutter. (4 foot min.) or 3 feet more than the gutter pan width. (HDM)

*Studies have shown that marking the parking lane encourages people to park closer to the curb. FHWA. Bicycle Countermeasure Selection System. 2006.*
Construction Costs

The cost for installing bicycle lanes will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping.

Further Considerations

- On high speed streets (posted speed limit ≥ 40 mph) the minimum bike lane should be 6 feet. (HDM 301.2)
- On streets where bicyclists passing each other is to be expected, where high volumes of bicyclists are present, or where added comfort is desired, consider providing extra wide bike lanes up to 7 feet wide, or configure as a buffered bicycle lane.
- It may be desirable to reduce the width of general purpose travel lanes in order to add or widen bicycle lanes. (HDM 301.2 3)
- On multi-lane streets, the most appropriate bicycle facility to provide for user comfort may be buffered bicycle lanes or physically separated bicycle lanes.

Utility infrastructure, such as manholes, water valve covers, and drain inlets within the roadway can present significant hazards to bicyclists, potentially causing a collision. Every effort should be made to avoid placing hazards within the likely travel path of bicyclists on new roadway construction.

Crash Reduction

Before and after studies of bicycle lane installations show a wide range of crash reduction factors. Some studies show a crash reduction of 35% (CMF ID: 1719) for vehicle/bicycle collisions, other show a crash increase of 28% (CMF ID: 4659). Due to a lack of bicyclist volume data, these studies did not account for the potential for increased ridership.
**ON-STREET BICYCLE LANES**

**COLORED BICYCLE LANES**

Colored pavement within a bicycle lane may be used to increase the visibility of the bicycle facility, raise awareness of the potential to encounter bicyclists and reinforce priority of bicyclists in conflict areas.

**Typical Application**

- Within a weaving or conflict area to identify the potential for bicyclist and motorist interactions and assert bicyclist priority.
- Across intersections, driveways and Stop or Yield-controlled cross-streets.

**Design Features**

- **A** Typical white bike lanes (solid or dotted 6” stripe) are used to outline the green colored pavement.
- **B** In exclusive use areas, color application should be solid green.
- **C** In weaving or turning conflict areas, preferred striping is dashed, to match the bicycle lane line extensions.
- The colored surface should be skid resistant and retro-reflective. *(CAMUTCD 9C.02.02)*
Further Considerations

- Green colored pavement shall be used in compliance with FHWA Interim Approval. *(CAMUTCD 1A.10) (FHWA IA-14.10)*
- FHWA allows for flexibility in the use of green pavement coloring within bike lanes. Local communities should identify a consistent practice for their application to promote common understanding among road users.
- Green colored pavement may be appropriate to identify driveway conflict zones in high-volume, auto-oriented driveway locations.

** Crash Reduction **

Before and after studies of colored bicycle lane installations have found a reduction in bicycle/vehicle collisions by 38% and a reduction in serious injuries and fatalities of bicyclists by 71%.*
A study in Portland, OR found a 38% decrease in the rate of conflict between bicyclists and motorists after colored lanes were installed.**

---


** **Hunter, W.W., et. al., Evaluation of the Blue Bike-Lane Treatment Used in Bicycle/Motor Vehicle Conflict Areas in Portland, Oregon, McLean, VA: FHWA, 2000, pg. 25.**

---

** Construction Costs **

The cost for installing colored bicycle lanes will depend on the materials selected and implementation approach. Typical costs range from $1.20/sq. ft. installed for paint to $14/sq. ft. installed for Thermoplastic. Colored pavement is more expensive than standard asphalt installation, costing 30-50% more than non-colored asphalt.
ON-STREET BICYCLE LANES

BUFFERED BICYCLE LANES

Buffered bike lanes are conventional bicycle lanes paired with a designated buffer space, separating the bicycle lane from the adjacent motor vehicle travel lane and/or parking lane.

Typical Application

- Anywhere a conventional bike lane is being considered.
- On streets with high speeds and high volumes or high truck volumes.
- On streets with extra lanes or lane width.
- Appropriate for skilled adult riders on most streets.

Design Features

A  The minimum bicycle travel area (not including buffer) is 5 feet wide.

B  Buffers should be at least 2 feet wide. If buffer area is 4 feet or wider, white chevron or diagonal markings should be used. (CAMUTCD 9C-104)

- For clarity at driveways or minor street crossings, consider a dotted line.
- There is no standard for whether the buffer is configured on the parking side, the travel side, or a combination of both.
Further Considerations

- Color may be used within the lane to discourage motorists from entering the buffered lane.
- A study of buffered bicycle lanes found that, in order to make the facilities successful, there needs to also be driver education, improved signage and proper pavement markings.
- On multi-lane streets with high vehicles speeds, the most appropriate bicycle facility to provide for user comfort may be physically separated bike lanes.
- NCHRP Report #766 recommends, when space in limited, installing a buffer space between the parking lane and bicycle lane where on-street parking is permitted rather than between the bicycle lane and vehicle travel lane.

---

Crash Reduction

A before and after study of buffered bicycle lane installation in Portland, OR found an overwhelmingly positive response from bicyclists, with 89% of bicyclists feeling safer riding after installation and 91% expressing that the facility made bicycling easier.

Construction Costs

The cost for installing buffered bicycle lanes will depend on the implementation approach. Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping. However, the cost of large-scale bicycle treatments will vary greatly due to differences in project specifications and the scale and length of the treatment.

---


On shared roadways, bicyclists and motor vehicles use the same roadway space. These facilities are typically used on roads with low speeds and traffic volumes, however they can be used on higher volume roads with wide outside lanes or shoulders. A motor vehicle driver will usually have to cross over into the adjacent travel lane to pass a bicyclist, unless a wide outside lane or shoulder is provided.
BICYCLE BOULEVARDS

Bicycle boulevards are low-volume, low-speed streets modified to enhance bicyclist comfort by using treatments such as signage, pavement markings, traffic calming and/or traffic reduction, and intersection modifications. These treatments allow through movements of bicyclists while discouraging similar through-trips by non-local motorized traffic.

**Design Features**
- Signs and pavement markings are the minimum treatments necessary to designate a street as a bicycle boulevard.
- Bicycle boulevards should have a maximum posted speed of 25 mph. Use traffic calming to maintain an 85th percentile speed below 22 mph.
- Implement volume control treatments based on the context of the bicycle boulevard, using engineering judgment. Target motor vehicle volumes range from 1,000 to 3,000 vehicles per day.
- Intersection crossings should be designed to enhance safety and minimize delay for bicyclists.

**Typical Application**
- Parallel with and in close proximity to major thoroughfares (1/4 mile or less).
- Follow a desire line for bicycle travel that is ideally long and relatively continuous (2-5 miles).
- Avoid alignments with excessive zigzag or circuitous routing. The bikeway should have less than 10% out of direction travel compared to shortest path of primary corridor.
- Streets with travel speeds at 25 mph or less and with traffic volumes of fewer than 3,000 vehicles per day. These conditions should either exist or be established with traffic calming measures.
Bicycle boulevards are established on streets that improve connectivity to key destinations and provide a direct, low-stress route for bicyclists, with low motorized traffic volumes and speeds, designated and designed to give bicycle travel priority over other modes.

Traffic calming can deter motorists from driving on a street. Anticipate and monitor vehicle volumes on adjacent streets to determine whether traffic calming results in inappropriate volumes. Traffic calming can be implemented on a trial basis.

Crash Reduction

In a comparison of vehicle/cyclist collision rates on traffic-calmed side streets signed and improved for cyclist use, compared to parallel and adjacent arterials with higher speeds and volumes, the bicycle boulevard as found to have a crash reduction factor of 63 percent, with rates two to eight times lower when controlling for volume (CMF ID: 3092).

Construction Costs

Costs vary depending on the type of treatments proposed for the corridor. Simple treatments such as wayfinding signage and markings are most cost-effective, but more intensive treatments will have greater impact at lowering speeds and volumes, at higher cost.
**SHARED ROADWAYS**

**TRAFFIC CALMING**

Traffic calming may include elements intended to reduce the speeds of motor vehicle traffic to be closer to bicyclist travel speeds, or may include design elements that restrict certain movements for motorized travel to discourage the use of bicycle boulevard corridors for through travel by automobiles.

Traffic calming treatments can cause drivers to slow down by constricting the roadway space or by requiring careful maneuvering. Such measures may reduce the design speed of a street, and can be used in conjunction with reduced speed limits to reinforce the expectation of lowered speeds. They can also lower vehicle volumes by physically or operationally reconfiguring corridors and intersections along the route.

---

**Traffic Calming Treatments to Reduce Motor Vehicle Speeds**

---

**Typical Application**

- Bicycle boulevards should have a maximum posted speed of 25 mph. Use traffic calming to maintain an 85th percentile speed below 20 mph (25 mph maximum). Bikeways with average speeds above this limit should be considered for traffic calming measures.
- Maintain a minimum clear width of 14 feet with a constricted length of at least 20 feet in the direction of travel.
- Bring traffic volumes down to 1,500 cars per day (4,000 cars per day maximum). Bikeways with daily volumes above this limit should be considered for traffic calming measures.
## Traffic Calming Treatments to Reduce Motor Vehicle Volumes

### Design Features (Speed Reduction)

A. Median islands create pinchpoint for traffic in the center of the roadway and offers shorter crossing distances for pedestrians when used in tandem with a marked crossing.

B. Chicanes slow drivers by requiring vehicles to shift laterally through narrowed lanes and which avoids uninterrupted sightlines.

C. Pinchpoints, chokers, or curb extensions restrict motorists from operating at high speeds on local streets by visually narrowing the roadway.

D. Neighborhood traffic circles reduce speed of traffic at intersections by requiring motorists to move cautiously through conflict points.

E. Street trees narrow a driver’s visual field and creates a consistent rhythm and canopy along the street, which provides a unified character and facilitates place recognition.

F. Speed humps slow drivers through vertical deflection. To minimize impacts to bicycles, use a sinusoidal profile and leave a gap along curb so that bicyclists may bypass the hump when appropriate. Speed cushions operate in a similar fashion to speed humps, but allow for unimpeded travel by emergency vehicles.

### Design Features (Volume Reduction)

G. Partial closure diverters allows bicyclists to proceed straight across the intersection but forces motorists to turn left or right. All turns from the major street onto the bikeway are prohibited. Can incorporate curb extensions with stormwater management features and/or a mountable island.

H. Right-in/right-out diverters force motorists to turn right while bicyclists can continue straight through the intersection. The island can provide a through bike lane or bicycle access to reduce conflicts with right-turning vehicles. Left turns from the major street onto the bikeway are prohibited, while right turns are still allowed.

I. Median refuge island diverters restrict through and left-turn vehicle movements along the bikeway while providing refuge for bicyclists to cross one direction of traffic at a time. This treatment prohibits left turns from the major street onto the bikeway, while right turns are still allowed.

J. Full diverters block all motor vehicles from continuing on a neighborhood bikeway, while bicyclists can continue unrestricted. Full closures can be constructed to be permeable to emergency vehicles.
**SHARED ROADWAYS**

**SHARED LANE MARKINGS**

Shared Lane Marking stencils are used in California as an additional treatment for Bike Route facilities and are currently approved in conjunction with on-street parking. The stencil can serve a number of purposes, such as making motorists aware of the need to share the road with bicyclists, showing bicyclists the direction of travel, and, with proper placement, reminding bicyclists to bike further from parked cars to prevent “doorin” collisions.

**Typical Application**

- Shared lane markings are not appropriate on paved shoulders or in bike lanes, and should not be used on roadways that have a speed limit above 35 mph.
- Shared Lane Markings pair well with Bikes May Use Full Lane signs.

**Design Features**

- When placed adjacent to parking, sharrows should be outside of the “door zone”. Minimum placement is 11” from curb.
- Placement in center of the travel lane is preferred in constrained conditions.
- Markings should be placed immediately after intersections and spaced at 250 ft intervals thereafter.
Shared Lane Markings

Sharrows can be used on higher-traffic streets as positional guidance and raise bicycle awareness where there isn’t space to accommodate a full-width bike lane.

Further Considerations

- Consider modifications to signal timing to induce a bicycle-friendly travel speed for all users.
- Though not always possible, placing the markings outside of vehicle tire tracks will increase the life of the markings and the long-term cost of the treatment.

Crash Reduction

A study that compared injury crashes per year per 100 bicycle commuters on facilities in Chicago built between 2008 and 2010 found that sharrows had a significantly weaker effect in reducing injury crashes compared the no-build condition by about 20 percent in contrast to bicycle lanes which saw a 42 percent reduction.*

Construction Costs

Sharrows typically cost $200 per each marker for a lane-mile cost of $4,200, assuming the MUTCD guidance of sharrow placement every 250 feet.
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A separated bikeway is an exclusive bike facility that combines the user experience of a separated path with the on-street infrastructure of a on-street bike lane. A separated bikeway is physically separated from motor traffic by a vertical element and distinct from the sidewalk. In situations where on-street parking is allowed, separated bikeways are located between the parking and the sidewalk.
CLASS IV: SEPARATED BIKEWAYS

ONE-WAY SEPARATED BIKEWAY

One-way protected bicycle lanes are on-street bikeway facilities that are separated from vehicle traffic. Separation for protected bicycle lanes is provided through physical barriers between the bike lane and the vehicular travel lane. These barriers can include bollards, parking, planter strips, extruded curbs, or on-street parking. Protected bike lanes using these barrier elements typically share the same elevation as adjacent travel lanes, but the bike lane could also be raised above street level, either below or equivalent to sidewalk level.

Typical Application

- Along streets on which conventional bicycle lanes would cause many bicyclists to feel stress because of factors such as multiple lanes, high bicycle volumes, high motor traffic volumes (9,000-30,000 ADT), higher traffic speeds (25+ mph), high incidence of double parking, higher truck traffic (10% of total ADT) and high parking turnover.
- Along streets for which conflicts at intersections can be effectively mitigated using parking lane setbacks, bicycle markings through the intersection, and other signalized intersection treatments.

Design Features

A. Pavement markings, symbols and/or arrow markings must be placed at the beginning of the separated bike lane and at intervals along the facility based on engineering judgment to define the bike direction. (CAMUTCD 9C.04)

B. 7 foot width preferred in areas with high bicycle volumes or uphill sections to facilitate safe passing behavior (5 foot minimum). (HDM 1003.1(1))

C. 3 foot minimum buffer width adjacent to parking lines (18 inch minimum adjacent to travel lanes), marked with 2 solid white (NACTO, 2012).
Further Considerations

- Separated bike lane buffers and barriers are covered in the CAMUTCD as preferential lane markings (section 3D.01) and channelizing devices (section 3H.01). If buffer area is 4 feet or wider, white chevron or diagonal markings should be used (section 9C.04). Curbs may be used as a channeling device, see the section on islands (section 3I.01).
- Where possible, physical barriers such as tubular markings or removable curbs should be oriented towards the inside edge of the buffer to provide as much extra width as possible for bicycle use.
- A retrofit separated bike lane has a relatively low implementation cost compared to road reconstruction by making use of existing pavement and drainage and by using parking lane as a barrier.
- Gutters, drainage outlets and utility covers should be designed and configured as not to impact bicycle travel.
- For clarity at driveways or minor street crossings, consider a dotted line for the buffer boundary where cars are expected to cross.
- Special consideration should be given at transit stops to manage bicycle & pedestrian interactions.

Crash Reduction

A before and after study in Montreal of physically separated bicycle lanes shows that this type of facility can result in a crash reduction of 74% for collisions between bicyclists and vehicles. (CMF ID: 4097) In this study, there was a parking buffer between the bike facility and vehicle travel lanes. Other studies have found a range in crash reductions due to SBL, from 8% (CMF ID: 4094) to 94% (CMF ID: 4101).

Construction Costs

The implementation cost is low if the project uses existing pavement and drainage, but the cost significantly increases if curb lines need to be moved. A parking lane is the low-cost option for providing a barrier. Other barriers might include concrete medians, bollards, tubular markers, or planters.
CLASS IV: SEPARATED BIKEWAYS

TWO-WAY SEPARATED BIKEWAY

Two-Way Separated Bikeways are bicycle facilities that allow bicycle movement in both directions on one side of the road. Two-way separated bikeays share some of the same design characteristics as one-way separated bicycle lanes, but may require additional considerations at driveway and side-street crossings.

Typical Application

- Works best on the left side of one-way streets.
- Streets with high motor vehicle volumes and/or speeds.
- Streets with high bicycle volumes.
- Streets with a high incidence of wrong-way bicycle riding.
- Streets with few conflicts such as driveways or cross-streets on one side of the street.
- Streets that connect to shared use paths.

Design Features

\[A\] 12 foot operating width preferred (10 ft minimum) width for two-way facility. In constrained an 8 foot minimum operating width may be considered. \(\text{(HDM 1003.1(1))}\)

Adjacent to on-street parking a 3 foot minimum width channelized buffer or island shall be provided to accommodate opening doors. \(\text{(NACTO, 2012)}\), \(\text{(CAMUTCD 3H.01, 3I.01)}\)

- Separation may be narrower than 5 foot separation may be permitted if physical barrier separation is present. \(\text{(AASHTO, 2013)}\)
**Further Considerations**

- Two-way bikeways introduce additional complexities at intersections and driveways. Additional signalization and signs may be necessary to manage conflicts.
- Separated bikeway buffers and barriers are covered in the CAMUTCD as preferential lane markings (section 3D.01) and channelizing devices, including flexible delineators (section 3H.01). Curbs may be used as a channeling device, see the section on islands (section 3I.01).
- A two-way separated bikeay on one way street should be located on the left side where possible.
- A two-way separated bikeway may be configured at street level or as a raised separated bicycle lane with vertical separation from the adjacent travel lane.
- Two-way separated bikeways should ideally be placed along streets with long blocks and few driveways or mid-block access points for motor vehicles.
- Consult Caltrans DIB 89; Class IV Bikeway Guidance for more information.

**Crash Reduction**

A study of bicyclists in two-way separated facilities found that accident probability decreased by 45% at intersections where the separated facility approach was detected between 2-5 meters from the side of the main road and when bicyclists had crossing priority at intersections. (CMF ID: 3034) Installation of a two-way separated bike lane 0-2 meters from the side of the main road resulted in an increase in collisions at intersections by 3% (CMF ID: 4033).

**Construction Costs**

The implementation cost is low if the project uses existing pavement and drainage, but the cost significantly increases if curb lines need to be moved. A parking lane is the low-cost option for providing a barrier. Other barriers might include concrete medians, bollards, tubular markers, or planters.
CLASS IV: SEPARATED BIKEWAYS

SEPARATED BIKEWAY BARRIERS

Separated bikeways may use a variety of vertical elements to physically separate the bikeway from adjacent travel lanes. Barriers may be robust constructed elements such as curbs, or may be more interim in nature, such as flexible delineator posts.

**Typical Application**

**Appropriate barriers for retrofit projects:**
- Parked Cars
- Flexible delineators
- Bollards
- Planters
- Parking stops

**Appropriate barriers for reconstruction projects:**
- Curb separation
- Medians
- Landscaped Medians
- Raised protected bike lane with vertical or mountable curb
- Pedestrian Safety Islands
BIKEWAY SEPARATION METHODS

Design Features

- Maximize effective operating space by placing curbs or delineator posts as far from the through bikeway space as practicable.
- Allow for adequate shy distance of 1 to 2 feet from vertical elements to maximize useful space.
- When next to parking allow for 3 feet of space in the buffer space to allow for opening doors and passenger unloading.
- The presences of landscaping in medians, planters and safety islands increases comfort for users and enhances the streetscape environment.

Further Considerations

- Separated bikeway buffers and barriers are covered in the CAMUTCD as preferential lane markings (section 3D.01) and channelizing devices (section 3H.01). Curbs may be used as a channeling device, see the section on islands (section 3I.01).
- With new roadway construction a raised separated bikeway can be less expensive to construct than a wide or buffered bicycle lane because of shallower trenching and sub base requirements.
- Parking should be prohibited within 30 feet of the intersection to improve visibility.

Crash Reduction

A before and after study in Montreal of separated bikeways shows that this type of facility can result in a crash reduction of 74% for collisions between bicyclists and vehicles. (CMF ID: 4097) In this study, there was a parking buffer between the bike facility and vehicle travel lanes. Other studies have found a range in crash reductions due to SBL, from 8% (CMF ID: 4094) to 94% (CMF ID: 4101).

Construction Costs

Separated bikeway costs can vary greatly, depending on the type of material, the scale, and whether it is part of a broader construction project.
Intersections are junctions at which different modes of transportation meet and facilities overlap. An intersection facilitates the interchange between bicyclists, motorists, pedestrians and other modes in order to advance traffic flow in a safe and efficient manner. Designs for intersections with bicycle facilities should reduce conflict between bicyclists and motor vehicles by heightening the level of visibility, denoting clear right-of-way and facilitating eye contact and awareness with other modes.
BIKE BOX

A bike box is an experimental treatment, designed to provide bicyclists with a safe and visible space to get in front of queuing traffic during the red signal phase. Motor vehicles must queue behind the white stop line at the rear of the bike box. On a green signal, all bicyclists can quickly clear the intersection. This treatment is currently under experiment, and has not been approved by Caltrans.

Typical Application

- At potential areas of conflict between bicyclists and turning vehicles, such as a right or left turn locations.
- At signalized intersections with high bicycle volumes.
- At signalized intersections with high vehicle volumes

Design Features

A 14 foot minimum depth from back of crosswalk to motor vehicle stop bar. (NACTO, 2012)

B A “No Turn on Red” (CAMUTCD R10-11) or “No Right Turn on Red” (CAMUTCD R13A) sign shall be installed overhead to prevent vehicles from entering the Bike Box. (Refer to CVC 22101 for the signage) A “Stop Here on Red” (CAMUTCD R10-6) sign should be post mounted at the stop line to reinforce observance of the stop line.

C A 50 foot ingress lane should be used to provide access to the box.

- Use of green colored pavement is optional.
**Bike Box**

A bike box allows for cyclists to wait in front of queuing traffic, providing high visibility and a head start over motor vehicle traffic.

**Further Considerations**

- This treatment positions bicycles together and on a green signal, all bicyclists can quickly clear the intersection, minimizing conflict and delay to transit or other traffic.
- Pedestrian also benefit from bike boxes, as they experience reduced vehicle encroachment into the crosswalk.
- Bike boxes are currently under experiment in California. Projects will be required to go through an official Request to Experiment process. This process is outlined in Section 1A.10 in the CAMUTCD, and jurisdictions must receive approval prior to implementation.

**Crash Reduction**

A study of motorist/bicyclist conflicts at bike boxes indicate a 35% decrease in conflicts. (CMF ID: 1718) A study done in Portland in 2010 found that 77% of bicyclists felt bicycling through intersections was safer with the bike boxes. *

**Construction Costs**

Costs will vary due to the type of paint used and the size of the bike box, as well as whether the treatment is added at the same time as other road treatments.

The typical cost for painting a bike box is $11.50 per square foot.

BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

TWO-STAGE TURN BOXES

Two-stage turn boxes offer bicyclists a safe way to make turns at multi-lane signalized intersections from a physically separated or conventional bike lane. On physically separated bike lanes, bicyclists are often unable to merge into traffic to turn due to physical separation, making the provision of two-stage turn boxes critical. This treatment is currently under experiment, and has not been approved by Caltrans.

### Typical Application
- Streets with high vehicle speeds and/or traffic volumes.
- At intersections with multi-lane roads with signalized intersections.
- At signalized intersections with a high number of bicyclists making a left turn from a right side facility.

### Design Features
- The two-stage turn box shall be placed in a protected area. Typically this is within the shadow of an on-street parking lane or protected bike lane buffer area and should be placed in front of the crosswalk to avoid conflict with pedestrians.
- 8 foot x 6 foot preferred depth of bicycle storage area (6 foot x 3 foot minimum).
- Bicycle stencil and turn arrow pavement markings shall be used to indicate proper bicycle direction and positioning. (NACTO, 2012)
Construction Costs

Costs will vary due to the type of paint used and the size of the two-stage turn box, as well as whether the treatment is added at the same time as other road treatments.

The typical cost for painting a two-stage turn box is $11.50 per square foot.

Further Considerations

- Consider providing a “No Turn on Red” (CAMUTCD R10-11) on the cross street to prevent motor vehicles from entering the turn box.
- This design formalizes a maneuver called a “box turn” or “pedestrian style turn.”
- Some two-stage turn box designs are considered experimental by FHWA and is not currently under experiment in California.
- Design guidance for two-stage turns apply to both bike lanes and separated bike lanes.
- Two-stage turn boxes reduce conflicts in multiple ways; from keeping bicyclists from queuing in a bike lane or crosswalk and by separating turning bicyclists from through bicyclists.
- Bicyclist capacity of a two-stage turn box is influenced by physical dimension (how many bicyclists it can contain) and signal phasing (how frequently the box clears.)
BIKE LANE AT INTERSECTIONS WHERE RIGHT TURNS ARE PERMITTED

In California, right turning vehicles are required to turn from the lane closest to the curb. When a bicycle lane approaches an intersection adjacent to a through/right option lane, the bicycle lane should be designed to permit right turning vehicles to enter the bicycle lane prior to turning.

Typical Application

- Streets with curbside bicycle lanes approaching an intersection where right turns are permitted.
- Streets with curb extensions occupying the parking lane at intersections.
- Consider a Combined Bike Lane/Turn Lane in areas with on-street parking and high turn volumes, but not enough room for a bicycle lane and a right turn only lane.

Design Features

A. Where motorist right turns are permitted from the general purpose travel lane, the solid bike lane should be dashed 50 to 200 feet in advance of the intersection.

B. Dashed striping should be 6 inch lines in 4 foot segments with 8 foot gaps. (CAMUTCD Detail 39A)
Construction Costs

The cost for installing bicycle lanes will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping.

Crash Reduction

Studies have shown a 40% decrease in crashes at signalized intersections with through/right lanes when compared to sharing the roadway with motor vehicles. (CMF ID: 3255)

Further Considerations

- The City of Sacramento is experimenting with dashed green pavement in the approach to intersections.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

BIKE LANES AT ADDED RIGHT TURN LANES

The appropriate treatment at right turn only lanes is to introduce an added turn lane to the outside of the bicycle lane. The area where people driving must weave across the bicycle lane should be marked with dotted lines and dotted green pavement to identify the potential conflict areas. Signage should indicate that motorists must yield to bicyclists through the conflict area.

Typical Application

- Streets with right-turn lanes and right side bike lanes.
- Streets with left-turn lanes and left side bike lanes.

Design Features

A. Mark inside line with 6” stripe.
B. Continue existing bike lane width; standard width of 5 to 6 feet (4 feet in constrained locations.)
C. Use R4-4 BEGIN RIGHT TURN LANE YIELD TO BIKES signage to indicate that motorists should yield to bicyclists through the conflict area.
D. Consider using colored in the conflict areas to promote visibility of the dashed weaving area.
Through Bicycle Lane to the Left of a Right Turn Only Lane

Drivers wishing to enter the right turn lane must transition across the bicycle lane in advance of the turn. Maintaining a straight path for bicyclists is important to emphasize their priority over weaving traffic.

Further Considerations

- The bicycle lane maintains a straight path, and drivers must weave across, providing clear right-of-way priority to bicyclists.
- Maintaining a straight bicycle path reinforces the priority of bicyclists over turning cars. Drivers must yield to bicyclists before crossing the bike lane to enter the turn only lane.
- Through lanes that become turn only lanes are difficult for bicyclists to navigate and should be avoided.
- The use of dual right-turn-only lanes should be avoided on streets with bike lanes (AASHTO, 2013). Where there are dual right-turn-only lanes, the bike lane should be placed to the left of both right-turn lanes, in the same manner as where there is just one right-turn-only lane.

Crash Reduction

Studies have shown a 3% decrease in crashes at signalized intersections with exclusive right turn lanes when compared to sharing the roadway with motor vehicles. (CMF ID: 3257)

Construction Costs

The cost for installing bicycle lanes will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

BIKE LANES AT THROUGH LANE TO RIGHT TURN LANE TRANSITION

When a through lane transitions directly into a right turn only lane, bicyclists traveling in a curbside bike lane must move laterally to the left of the right turn lane. Designers should provide the opportunity for bicyclists to accept gaps in traffic and control the transition.

Typical Application

- Streets with curbside bike lanes where a moderate-high speed (≥30 mph) through travel lane transitions into a right turn only lane.
- This treatment functions for skilled riders, but is not appropriate for riders of all ages and abilities. If a low stress crossing is desired in these locations, consider a Protected Bicycle Signal Phase.

Design Features

A. End the curbside bike lane with dashed lines at least 125 feet in advance of the intersection to indicate to bicyclists to enter the general purpose travel lane. (CAMUTCD 9C.04)

B. Use Shared Lane markings in the general purpose to raise awareness to the presence of bicyclists in the travel lanes during the transition segment.

C. Reestablish a standard or wide bicycle lane to the left of the right turn only lane.

D. The transition area should be a minimum of 100 feet long. (CAMUTCD Figure 9C-4b)

Based on Figure 4-21 from AASHTO 2013
**Bike Lanes at Right Turn “Drop” Lanes**

When a through travel lane is “dropped” and transitions directly into a right turn only lane, only confident adult riders can be expected to transition across the lane into the through bike lane. Designers should provide adequate room for bicyclists to take advantage of gaps in traffic, and not prescribe a defined travel path across the turn lane.

### Further Considerations

The design should not suggest to bicyclists that they do not need to yield to motorists when moving laterally. This differs from added right turn lanes in important details:

- Do not use a R4-4-YIELD TO BIKES sign
- The bike lane line should not be striped diagonally across the travel lane (with or without colored pavement), as this inappropriately suggests to bicyclists that they do not need to yield to motorists when moving laterally.

Right turn only drop lanes should be avoided where possible. Alternative design strategies include roadway reconfigurations to remove the dropped lane, or bicycle signals with a protected signal phase to eliminate turning conflicts.

### Crash Reduction

There are no Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) available for this treatment.

### Construction Costs

The cost for installing bicycle lanes will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

COMBINED BIKE LANE/TURN LANE

Where there isn’t room for a conventional bicycle lane and turn lane a combined bike lane/turn lane creates a shared lane where bicyclists can ride and turning motor vehicles yield to through traveling bicyclists. The combined bicycle lane/turn lane places shared lane markings within a right turn only lane.

**Typical Application**
- Most appropriate in areas with lower posted speeds (30 MPH or less) and with lower traffic volumes (10,000 ADT or less).
- May not be appropriate for high speed arterials or intersections with long right turn lanes.
- May not be appropriate for intersections with large percentages of right-turning heavy vehicles.

**Design Features**

A) Maximum shared turn lane width is 13 feet; narrower is preferable. *(NACTO, 2012)*

B) Shared Lane Markings should indicate preferred positioning of bicyclists within the combine lane.

C) A “RIGHT LANE MUST TURN RIGHT” sign with an “EXCEPT BIKES” plaque may be needed to permit through bicyclists to use a right turn lane.

D) Use R4-4 BEGIN RIGHT TURN LANE YIELD TO BIKES signage to indicate that motorists should yield to bicyclists through the conflict area.
Construction Costs

The cost for installing a combined turn lane will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping. Typical yield lines cost $10 per square foot or $320 each. Typical shared lane markings cost $180 each.

Further Considerations

- This treatment is recommended at intersections lacking sufficient space to accommodate both a standard through bike lane and right turn lane.
- Not recommended at intersections with high peak motor vehicle right turn movements.
- Combined bike lane/turn lane creates safety and comfort benefits by negotiating conflicts upstream of the intersection area.

Crash Reduction

A survey in Eugene, OR found that more than 17 percent of the surveyed bicyclists using the combined turn lane felt that it was safer than the comparison location with a standard-width right-turn lane, and another 55 percent felt that the combined-lane site was no different safety-wise than the standard-width location.*

BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

INTERSECTION CROSSING MARKINGS

Bicycle pavement markings through intersections guide bicyclists on a safe and direct path through the intersection and provide a clear boundary between the paths of through bicyclists and vehicles in the adjacent lane.

**Typical Application**

- Streets with conventional, buffered or separated bike lanes.
- At direct paths through intersections.
- Streets with high volumes of adjacent traffic.
- Where potential conflicts exist between through bicyclist and adjacent traffic.

**Design Features**

- Intersection markings should be the same width and in line with leading bike lane.
- Dotted lines should be a minimum of 6 inches wide and 4 feet long, spaced every 12 feet. *(CAMUTCD Figure 39A)*
- All markings should be white, skid resistant and retro reflective *(CAMUTCD 9C.02.02)*
- Green pavement markings may also be used.
**Intersection Crossing Markings**

Intersection crossing markings can be used at signalized intersections or high volume minor street and driveway crossings, as illustrated above.

**Further Considerations**

The National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices has submitted a request to include additional options bicycle lanes extensions through intersections as a part of future MUTCD updates*. Their proposal includes the following options for striping elements within the crossing:

- Bicycle lane markings
- Double chevron markings, indicating the direction of travel.
- Green colored pavement.

---

* Letter to FHWA from the Bicycle Technical Committee for the NUTCD. Bicycle Lane Extensions through Intersections. June 2014.

---

**Crash Reduction**

A study on the safety effects of intersection crossing markings found a reduction in accidents by 10% and injuries by 19%**

A study in Portland, OR found that significantly more motorists yielded to bicyclists after the colored pavement had been installed (92 percent in the after period versus 72 percent in the before period.)***

---

**Construction Costs**

The cost for installing intersection crossing markings will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical shared lane markings cost $180 each.

---


BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

MIXING ZONE

A mixing zone creates a shared travel lane where turning motor vehicles yield to through traveling bicyclists. Geometric design is intended to slow motor vehicles to bicycle speed, provide regulatory guidance to people driving, and require all users to negotiate conflicts upstream of the intersection.

**Typical Application**
- Most appropriate in areas with low to moderate right-turn volumes
- Streets with a right turn lane but not enough width to have a standard width bicycle lane at the intersection.

**Design Features**
- **A** Use short transition taper dimensions and short storage length to promote slow motor vehicle travel speeds.
- **B** The width of the mixing zone should be 9 feet minimum and 13 feet maximum.
- **C** The transition to the mixing zone should begin 70 feet in advance of the intersection.
- **D** Shared lane markings (CAMUTCD 9C-9) should be used to illustrate the bicyclist’s position within the lane.
- **E** A yield line should be used in advance of the intersection.
Construction Costs

The cost for installing mixing zone will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Typical costs are $16,000 per mile for restriping. Typical yield lines cost $10 per square foot or $320 each. Typical shared lane markings cost $180 each.

Further Considerations

- Not recommended at intersections with high peak motor vehicle right turn movements.
- The zone creates safety and comfort benefits by having the mixing zone upstream of the intersection conflict area.

Crash Reduction

A survey of separated bike lane users in the United States found the 60-80% of respondents agreed with the statement "I generally feel safe when bicycling through the intersections" when asked about intersections with mixing zone approaches.*

* NITC, Lessons from the Green Lanes. 2014.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

PROTECTED BICYCLE SIGNAL PHASE

Protected bicycle lane crossings of signalized intersections can be accomplished through the use of a bicycle signal phase which reduces conflicts with motor vehicles by separating bicycle movements from any conflicting motor vehicle movements. Bicycle signals are traditional three lens signal heads with green, yellow and red bicycle stenciled lenses.

Typical Application

- Two-way protected bike lanes where contraflow bicycle movement or increased conflict points warrant protected operation.
- Bicyclists moving on a green or yellow signal indication in a bicycle signal shall not be in conflict with any simultaneous motor vehicle movement at the signalized location.
- Right (or left) turns on red should be prohibited in locations where such operation would conflict with a green bicycle signal indication.

Design Features

A. An additional “Bicycle Signal” sign should be installed below the bicycle signal head.

B. Designs for bicycles at signalized crossings should allow bicyclists to trigger signals and safely maneuver the crossing.

CAMUTCD 9D.02

On bikeways, signal timing and actuation shall be reviewed and adjusted to consider the needs of bicyclists. (CAMUTCD 9D.02)
Construction Costs

Bicycle signal heads have an average cost of $12,800.

Video detection camera system costs range from $20,000 to $25,000 per intersection.

Further Considerations

- A bicycle signal should be considered for use only when the volume/collision or volume/ geometric warrants have been met. (CAMUTCD 4C.102)
- FHWA has approved bicycle signals for use, if they comply with requirements from F.C. Interaction Approval 16 (I.A. 16).
- Bicyclists typically need more time to travel through an intersection than motor vehicles. Green light times should be determined using the bicycle crossing time for standing bicycles.
- Bicycle detection and actuation systems include user-activated buttons mounted on a pole, loop detectors that trigger a change in the traffic signal when a bicycle is detected and video detection cameras, that use digital image processing to detect a change in the image at a location.

Crash Reduction

A survey of separated bike lane users in the United States found the 92% of respondents agreed with the statement “I generally feel safe when bicycling through the intersections” when asked about an intersection with a protected bicycle signal phase.*

* NITC, Lessons from the Green Lanes. 2014.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

PROTECTED INTERSECTION

A protected intersection uses a collection of intersection design elements to maximize user comfort within the intersection and promote a high rate of motorists yielding to people bicycling. The design maintains a physical separation within the intersection to define the turning paths of motor vehicles, slow vehicle turning speed, and offer a comfortable place for people bicycling to wait at a red signal.

**Typical Application**

- Streets with separated bicycle lanes protected by wide buffer or on-street parking.
- Where two separated bicycle lanes intersect and two-stage left-turn movements can be provided for bicycle riders.
- Helps reduce conflicts between right-turning motorists and bicycle riders by reducing turning speeds and providing a forward stop bar for bicycles.
- Where it is desirable to create a curb extension at intersections to reduce pedestrian crossing distance.

**Design Features**

A. Setback bicycle crossing of 16.5 feet allows for one passenger car to queue while yielding. Smaller setback distance is possible in slow-speed, space constrained conditions.

B. Corner safety island with a 15-20 foot corner radius slows motor vehicle speeds. Larger radius designs may be possible when paired with a deeper setback or a protected signal phase, or small mountable aprons. Two-stage turning boxes are provided for queuing bicyclists adjacent to corner islands.

C. Use intersection crossing markings.
### Protected Intersection

Protected intersections feature a corner safety island and intersection crossing markings. Protected intersections incorporate queuing areas for two-stage left turns.

### Further Considerations

- Pedestrian crosswalks may need to be further set back from intersections in order to make room for two-stage turning queue boxes.
- Wayfinding and directional signage should be provided to help bicycle riders navigate through the intersection.
- Colored pavement may be used within the corner refuge area to clarify use by people bicycling and discourage use by people walking or driving.
- Intersection approaches with high volumes of right turning vehicles should provide a dedicated right turn only lane paired with a protected signal phase. Protected signal phasing may allow different design dimensions than are described here.

### Crash Reduction

Studies of “bend out” intersection approaches find that separation distance of 6.5 - 16.5 ft offer the greatest safety benefit, with a better safety record than conventional bike lane designs. (Schepers 2011).

Schepers et al. Road factors and Bicycle-Motor vehicle crashes at unsignalized priority intersections. 2011.

### Construction Costs

- Reconstruction costs comparable to a full intersection.
- Retrofit implementation may be possible at lower costs if existing curbs and drainage are maintained.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

ROUNDABOUTS

At roundabouts it is important to indicate to motorists, bicyclists and pedestrians the right-of-way rules and correct way for them to circulate, using appropriately designed signage, pavement markings, and geometric design elements.

**Typical Application**

- Where a bike lane or separated bikeway approaches a single-lane roundabout.

**Design Features**

**A** Design approaches/exits to the lowest speeds possible. 10-15 mph preferred with 25 mph maximum circulating design speed.

**B** Allow bicyclists to exit the roadway onto a separated bike lane or shared use path that circulates around the roundabout.

- Also allow bicyclists navigating the roundabout like motor vehicles to “take the lane.”

**C** Maximize yielding rate of motorists to pedestrians and bicyclists at crosswalks with small corner radii and reduced crossing distance.
Construction Costs

- Roundabouts cost $250,000 - $500,000 depending on the size, site conditions, and right-of-way acquisitions. Roundabouts usually have lower ongoing maintenance costs than traffic signals, depending on whether the roundabout is landscaped.

Further Considerations

- The publication Roundabouts: Informational Guide states “… it is important not to select a multilane roundabout over a single-lane roundabout in the short term, even when long-term traffic predictions…” (NCHRP 2010 p 6-71)

- Other circulatory intersection designs exist but they function differently than the modern roundabout. These include:
  - Traffic circles (also known as rotaries) are old style circular intersections used in some cities in the US where traffic signals or stop signs are used to control one or more entry.
  - Neighborhood Traffic Circles are small-sized circular intersections of local streets. They may be uncontrolled or stop controlled, and do not channelize entry.

Crash Reduction

Research indicates that while single-lane roundabouts may benefit bicyclists and pedestrians by slowing traffic, multi-lane roundabouts may present greater challenges and significantly increase safety problems for these users.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

GRADE-SEPARATED CROSSINGS

Grade-separated crossings provide critical non-motorized system links by joining areas separated by barriers such as railroads, waterways and highway corridors. In most cases, these structures are built in response to user demand for safe crossings where they previously did not exist. There are no minimum roadway characteristics for considering grade separation. Depending on the type of facility or the desired user group, grade separation may be considered in many types of projects.

Typical Application

- Where shared-use paths cross high-speed and high-volume roadways where an at-grade signalized crossing is not feasible or desired, or where crossing railways or waterways.

Design Features

A Overcrossings should be at least 8 feet wide with 14 feet preferred and additional width provided at scenic viewpoints.
B Railing height must be a minimum of 42 inches for overcrossings.
C Undercrossings should be designed at minimum 10 feet height and 14 feet width, with greater widths preferred for lengths over 60 feet.
D Centerline stripe is recommended for grade-separated facility.
Overcrossings require a minimum of 17 feet of vertical clearance to the roadway below versus a minimum elevation differential of around 12 feet for an undercrossing. This can result in greater elevation differences and much longer ramps for bicycles and pedestrians to negotiate.

Overcrossings for bicycles and pedestrians typically fall under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), which strictly limits ramp slopes to 5% (1:20) with landings at 400 foot intervals, or 8.33% (1:12) with landings every 30 feet.

Overcrossings pose potential concerns about visual impact and functional appeal, as well as space requirements necessary to meet ADA guidelines for slope.

To mitigate safety concerns, an undercrossing should be designed to be spacious, well-lit, equipped with emergency cell phones at each end and completely visible for its entire length from end to end.

Costs will vary greatly based on site conditions, materials, etc. Overpasses have a range from $150 to $250 per square foot or $1,073,000 to $5,366,000 per complete installation, depending on site conditions. Underpasses range from slightly less than $1,609,000 to $10,733,000 in total or around $120 per square foot. (PBIC).
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

BIKE LANES AT CHANNELIZED TURN LANES

Bicycle friendly channelized turn lanes can reduce the risk of potential conflicts between bicyclists and turning vehicles by improves sight lines of turning vehicles, slows turning vehicle speed, and reminding users of bicycle priority in weave areas.

Typical Application

- At signalized intersections.
- Intersections with high right turn traffic volumes, and very low levels of pedestrian activity.
- Increase intersection efficiency and reduce unnecessary delay at areas with high right-turn traffic volumes.
- Wide streets with long crossing distances.
- As an improvement to intersections with an existing traditional channelized right-turn lane.

Design Features

- **A**: The preferred angle of approach is no more than 15-30 degrees*.
- **B**: Design the right turn lane to encourage appropriate deceleration in preparation for yielding to crossing pedestrians.
- **C**: Colored pavement should be used at locations where motor vehicles are directed to weave across bicycle lanes. *(NACTO, 2012)*

**Construction Costs**

The cost for installing bicycle lanes at interchange ramps will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

**Crash Reduction**

There are no Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) for this treatment.

NCHRP 562 identifies raised crosswalks, sound strips and rapid flash beacons as methods to improve conditions for pedestrians.

---

**Further Considerations**

- In locations where large curb radius is necessary to accommodate large vehicles, use a painted or raised apron to define a secondary curb radius for passenger cars.

High-speed channelized right turn lanes resulted in the greatest pedestrian delay and risk. High Speed is categorized as a design speed or average observed speed at the crosswalk greater than 20 mph. These locations are good candidates for additional interventions to increase yielding,

- A raised pedestrian crossing may be used to slow driver speeds, encourage yielding, and prioritize crossing pedestrians over turning vehicles. A raised crossing is recommended if the posted speed is 50km/hour or less and turn volumes are 6,000 ADT or less.

- If further yielding compliance is needed, active warning beacons such as a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) may be used.**

---

**Channelized Turn Lane with Bicycle Lane (Bend, OR)**

This example uses a raise, mountable apron at the corner to define a tight corner radius for passenger cars.
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This example uses a raise, mountable apron at the corner to define a tight corner radius for passenger cars.
BIKEWAY INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

BIKE LANES AT ENTRANCE RAMPS

Arterials may contain high speed freeway-style designs such as merge lanes which can create difficulties for bicyclists. The entrance lanes typically have intrinsic visibility problems because of low approach angles and feature high speed differentials between bicyclists and motor vehicles.

Low Speed Entrance Ramp (Bicycle Priority)

High Speed Entrance Ramp (Motor Vehicle Priority)

Typical Application

- Streets with high speed freeway style merge lanes.
- Where users are skilled adult riders.
- Design strategies differ for low-speed and high-speed configurations.

Design Features

On low-speed entrance ramps (≤ 35 mph) the bike lane should travel straight through the merge area.

A Use dotted lines, colored pavement and signs to define bicyclist priority over merging traffic.

At high-speed entrance ramps (> 40 mph), with dedicated receiving lanes, bicyclists should be encouraged to yield to merging traffic and cross when safe.

B Angle the bike lane to increase the approach angle with entering traffic and position crossing a before drivers’ attention is focus on the upcoming merge.
Construction Costs

The cost for installing bicycle lanes at interchange ramps will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Proper ramp alignment is easiest to achieve when the intersection is still in the planning phase; once constructed, interchanges are very costly to reconfigure.

Further Considerations

Even with signage and striping improvements, free-flow ramps present significant challenges for pedestrians and bicyclists. The preferred treatment is to reconfigure the ramp geometry into a right-angle intersection; this would slow down traffic entering and exiting the freeway and create a less stressful environment for vulnerable road users. (Caltrans Complete Intersections, 2010)

In order to better accommodate users of all ages and abilities, consider the following alternatives:

- Routing bicycle/pedestrian priority corridors along routes that connect across the freeway but do not include an interchange.
- Constructing grade separated bicycle/pedestrian crossings where there are no suitable routes that avoid interchanges.

Bicyclists are channelized in advance of the crossing to encourage them to yield to entering motor vehicles in this example from Portland, OR.

High Speed Entrance Ramp Design with Channelizing Island

Crash Reduction

There are no Crash Modification Factors (CMFs) available for this configuration.

Construction Costs

The cost for installing bicycle lanes at interchange ramps will depend on the implementation approach. On roadways with adequate width for reconfiguration or restriping, costs may be negligible when provided as part of routine overlay or repaving projects.

Proper ramp alignment is easiest to achieve when the intersection is still in the planning phase; once constructed, interchanges are very costly to reconfigure.
ON-STREET BICYCLE LANES

BICYCLE DETECTION AND ACTUATION

Proper bicycle detection should meet two primary criteria: 1) accurately detects bicyclists and 2) provides clear guidance to bicyclists on how to actuate detection (e.g., what button to push, where to stand). Bicycle loops and other detection mechanisms can also provide bicyclists with an extended green time before the light turns yellow so that bicyclists of all abilities can reach the far side of the intersection.

Typical Application

- All new or modified traffic signals in California must be equipped for bicyclist detection, or be placed on permanent recall or fixed time operation. (CalTrans Traffic Operations Policy Directive (TOPD) 09-06).
- Detection shall be place where bicyclists are intended to travel and/or wait.
- On bicycle priority corridors with on-street bike lanes or separated bikeways, consider the use of advance detection placed 100-200’ upstream of the intersection to provide an early trigger to the signal system and reduce bicyclist delay.

Design Features

TOPD 09-06 requires push button, in-pavement detectors or video detection systems.

Push Button Actuation

User-activated button mounted on a pole facing the street. Device location should not require bicyclists to dismount or be rerouted out of the way or onto the sidewalk to activate the phase.

In Pavement Detection (Type D inductive loop)

Bicycle-activated loop detectors are installed within the roadway to allow the presence of a bicycle to trigger a change in the traffic signal. This allows the bicyclist to stay within the lane of travel without having to maneuver to the side of the road to trigger a push button. Loops should be supplemented with pavement markings to instruct bicyclists how to trip them.
Further Considerations

- Video detection systems use digital image processing to detect a change in the image at a location. These systems can be calibrated to detect bicycles, although some video detection systems may have problems detecting bicyclists under poor lighting or poor weather conditions.

- It is important for signal timing to account for the differing bicycle start up and clearance times through the intersection. The sum of the minimum green time, plus the yellow change interval plus any red clearance interval should allow a 6 ft bicyclist to clear the last conflicting lane at a speed of 14.7 ft/sec plus an additional start up time of 6 seconds.

- Signal detection and actuation for bicyclists should be maintained with other traffic signal detection and roadway pavement markings. In street detection markings are often placed within the wheel tread of motor vehicles and may be susceptible to early wear.

- Studies have shown limited comprehension of the bicycle detection pavement marking by bicyclists. The MUTCD R10-22 sign may be used to help educate and inform road users.

Crash Reduction

Properly designed bicycle detection can help deter red light running and unsafe behaviors by reducing delay at signalized intersections.

Construction Costs

Costs vary depending on the type of technology used. Embedded in pavement loop detectors have an average cost of $1,900. Video camera system costs range from $20,000 to $25,000 per intersection.
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The ability to navigate through a city is informed by landmarks, natural features and other visual cues. Bicycle wayfinding can assist in navigation to guide bicyclists to their destinations along preferred bicycle routes. Signs are typically placed at decision points along bicycle routes - typically at the intersection of two or more bikeways and at other key locations leading to and along bicycle routes.
BIKEWAY SIGNING AND AMENITIES

SAFETY AND WARNING SIGNS

Signs may be used to raise awareness of the presence of bikes on the roadway beyond that of the conventional “Bike Route” sign. These signs are intended to reduce motor vehicle/bicyclist conflict and are appropriate to be placed on routes that lack paved shoulders or other bicycle facilities.

**Typical Application**

- In higher speed rural contexts, a bicycle warning sign (W11-1) paired with a legend plaque reading “ON ROADWAY” may clarify to motor vehicle drivers to expect bicyclists.
- In more developed areas, “Bikes May Use Full Lane” (BMUFL) (R4-11) signs encourages bicyclists to take the lane when the lane is too narrow. They typically work best when placed near activity centers such as schools, shopping centers and other destinations that attract bicycle traffic.
- The “SHARE THE ROAD” (W16-1P) plaque is discouraged for use due to a lack of shared understanding among road users.
- In California, the state-specific “PASS Bicycle (symbol) 3FT MIN” symbol (R117) can be used to remind motorists to provide adequate space when passing.

**Design Features**

- Use with travel lanes less than 14 feet wide, which are too narrow for safe passing within the lane.
- Signs should be placed at regular intervals along routes with no designated bicycle facilities.
- Dedicated bicycle facilities are recommended for roadways with speed limits above 35 mph where the need for bicycle access exists.
WAYFINDING
COMMUNITY WAYFINDING SIGNS
Community wayfinding guide signs are part of a coordinated and continuous system of signs that direct tourists and other road users to key civic, cultural, visitor, and recreational attractions and other destinations within a city or a local urbanized or downtown area.

Typical Application
- Within a downtown or neighborhood district area to provide a cohesive local wayfinding system to road users, including pedestrians.
- Community wayfinding guide signs should not be used on a regional or statewide basis. For wayfinding systems at these scales, conventional MUTCD destination and guide signing should be used.
- The use of community wayfinding guide signs is limited to conventional roads, and should not be used on limited access highways.

Design Features
- Except for the informational guide sign posted at the boundary of the wayfinding guide sign area, community wayfinding guide signs may use background colors other than green in order to provide a color identification for the wayfinding destinations by geographical area within the overall wayfinding guide signing system.
- Other graphics that specifically identify the wayfinding system, including identification enhancement markers, may be used on the overall sign assembly and sign supports.
- Non-conventional designs that adhere to MUTCD signage regulations can be used in areas with unique historic character.

Further Considerations
The standard colors of red, orange, yellow, purple, or the fluorescent versions thereof, fluorescent yellow-green, and fluorescent pink shall not be used as background colors for community wayfinding guide signs, in order to minimize possible confusion with critical, higher-priority regulatory and warning sign color meanings readily understood by road users.
BIKEWAY SIGNING AND AMENITIES

WAYFINDING SIGN TYPES

The ability to navigate through a city is informed by landmarks, natural features and other visual cues. Signs throughout the city should indicate to bicyclists the direction of travel, the locations of destinations and the travel time/distance to those destinations. A bicycle wayfinding system consists of comprehensive signing and/or pavement markings to guide bicyclists to their destinations along preferred bicycle routes.

Typical Application

- Wayfinding signs will increase users’ comfort and accessibility to the bicycle systems.
- Signage can serve both wayfinding and safety purposes including:
  - Helping to familiarize users with the bicycle network
  - Helping users identify the best routes to destinations
  - Helping to address misperceptions about time and distance
  - Helping overcome a “barrier to entry” for people who are not frequent bicyclists (e.g., “interested but concerned” bicyclists)

Design Features

A Confirmation signs indicate to bicyclists that they are on a designated bikeway. Make motorists aware of the bicycle route. Can include destinations and distance/time but do not include arrows.

B Turn signs indicate where a bikeway turns from one street onto another street. These can be used with pavement markings and include destinations and arrows.

C Decisions signs indicate the junction of two or more bikeways and inform bicyclists of the designated bike route to access key destinations. These include destinations, arrows and distances. Travel times are optional but recommended.
Further Considerations

- Bicycle wayfinding signs also visually cue motorists that they are driving along a bicycle route and should use caution. Signs are typically placed at key locations leading to and along bicycle routes, including the intersection of multiple routes.
- Too many road signs tend to clutter the right-of-way, and it is recommended that these signs be posted at a level most visible to bicyclists rather than per vehicle signage standards.
- A community-wide bicycle wayfinding signage plan would identify:
  - Sign locations
  - Sign type – what information should be included and design features
  - Destinations to be highlighted on each sign – key destinations for bicyclists
  - Approximate distance and travel time to each destination
- Green is the color used for directional guidance and is the most common color of bicycle wayfinding signage in the US, including those in the MUTCD.
- Check wayfinding signage along bikeways for signs of vandalism, graffiti, or normal wear and replace signage along the bikeway network as-needed.

Crash Reduction

There is no evidence that wayfinding signs have any impact on crash reduction or user safety.

Construction Costs

Trail wayfinding signs range from $500-$2000.
BIKEWAY SIGNING AND AMENITIES

WAYFINDING SIGN PLACEMENT

Signs are placed at decision points along bicycle routes – typically at the intersection of two or more bikeways and at other key locations leading to and along bicycle routes.

Confirmation Signs
- Placed every ¼ to ½ mile on off-street facilities and every 2 to 3 blocks along on-street bicycle facilities, unless another type of sign is used (e.g., within 150 ft of a turn or decision sign).
- Should be placed soon after turns to confirm destination(s). Pavement markings can also act as confirmation that a bicyclist is on a preferred route.

Decision Signs
- Near-side of intersections in advance of a junction with another bicycle route.
- Along a route to indicate a nearby destination.

Design Features
- MUTCD guidelines should be followed for wayfinding sign placement, which includes mounting height and lateral placement from edge of path or roadway.
- Pavement markings can be used to reinforce routes and directional signage.
Wayfinding Pavement Markings

Some cities use pavement markings to indicate required turns or jogs along the bicycle route.

Further Considerations

It can be useful to classify a list of destinations for inclusion on the signs based on their relative importance to users throughout the area. A particular destination’s ranking in the hierarchy can be used to determine the physical distance from which the locations are signed. For example, primary destinations (such as the downtown area) may be included on signage up to 5 miles away. Secondary destinations (such as a transit station) may be included on signage up to two miles away. Tertiary destinations (such as a park) may be included on signage up to one mile away.

Crash Reduction

There is no evidence that wayfinding signs have any impact on crash reduction or user safety.

Construction Costs

The cost of a wayfinding sign placement plan depends on the scale and scope of the approach. Trail wayfinding signage range from $500-$2000.
Safe and easy access to bicycle parking facilities is necessary to encourage commuters to access transit via bicycle. Short and long term parking should be provided at transit centers and other destinations.
BIKE PARKING

Bicyclists expect a safe, convenient place to secure their bicycle when they reach their destination. This may be short-term parking of 2 hours or less, or long-term parking for employees, students, residents, and commuters.

Typical Application

- Bike racks provide short-term bicycle parking and is meant to accommodate visitors, customers, and others expected to depart within two hours. Short-term parking should consist of approved standard racks, with appropriate location and placement to serve nearby uses. Bike racks can also incorporate a canopy for weather protection.

- Bike corrals consist of bicycle racks grouped together in a common area within the street traditionally used for automobile parking, or on the sidewalk within the furnishing zone as space allows. Bicycle corrals are reserved exclusively for bicycle parking and provide a relatively inexpensive solution to providing high-volume bicycle parking. Bicycle corrals can be implemented by converting one or two on-street motor vehicle parking spaces into on-street bicycle parking, or as part of a curb extension for off-street bicycle parking. Each motor vehicle parking space can be replaced with approximately 6-10 bicycle parking spaces. Bike corrals can also incorporate a canopy for weather protection.

- Bicycle lockers are intended to provide long-term bicycle storage for employees, students, residents, commuters, and others expected to park more than two hours. Long-term facilities protect the entire bicycle, its components and accessories against theft and against inclement weather, including snow and wind-driven rain. Lockers should be placed in visible, easily accessible locations while maintaining security.
Design Features

Bike Racks
- 2 feet minimum from the curb face to avoid ‘dooring.’
- 4 feet between racks to provide maneuvering room.
  - Locate close to destinations; 50 feet maximum distance from main building entrance.
  - Minimum clear distance of 6 feet should be provided between the bicycle rack and the property line.

Bike Corrals
- Bicyclists should have an entrance width from the roadway of 5-6 feet for on-street corrals.
  - Can be used with parallel or angled parking.
  - Parking stalls adjacent to curb extensions are good candidates for on-street bicycle corrals since the concrete extension serves as delimitation on one side.
  - Off-street bike corrals are appropriate where there is a wide sidewalk furnishing zone (7 feet or greater), or as part of a curb extension.

Bike Lockers
- Minimum dimensions: width (opening) 2.5 feet; height 4 feet; depth 6 feet.
  - 4 foot side clearance and 6 foot end clearance.
  - 7 foot minimum distance between facing lockers.

Construction Costs

Costs can vary based on the design and materials used. Bicycle rack costs can range from approximately $60 to $3,600, depending on design and materials used. On average the cost is approximately $660. Bicycle lockers costs range from $1,280 to $2,680.
Further Considerations

Minimum Specifications for Required Bicycle Parking

- All bicycle parking facilities shall be dedicated for the exclusive use of bicycle parking and shall not be intended for the use of motorized two-wheeled or similar vehicles.

- All required short-term bicycle parking spaces shall permit the locking of the bicycle frame and one (1) wheel with a U-type lock, support the bicycle in a stable horizontal position without damage to wheels, frame, or components, and provide two (2) points of contact with the bicycle’s frame. Art racks are subject to review by the City.

- All required long-term bicycle parking spaces, with the exception of individual bicycle lockers, shall permit the locking of the bicycle frame and one (1) wheel with a U-type lock and support the bicycle in a stable position without damage to wheels, frame, or components.

- Bicycle parking facilities shall be securely anchored so they cannot be easily removed and shall be of sufficient strength and design to resist vandalism and theft.

Location and Design of Required Bicycle Parking.

- A short-term bicycle parking space shall be at least two and one-half (2.5) feet in width by six (6) feet in length to allow sufficient space between parked bicycles.

- Bicycle parking facilities shall not impede pedestrian or vehicular circulation. Bicycle parking racks located on sidewalks should be kept clear of the pedestrian through zone.

- Short-term bicycle racks shall be located with at least 30 inches clearance in all directions from any obstruction, including but not limited to other racks, walls, and landscaping. Large retail uses, supermarkets, and grocery stores are encouraged to locate racks with a 36-inch clearance in all directions from any vertical obstruction, including but not limited to other racks, walls, and landscaping.

- All bicycle facilities shall provide a minimum four (4) foot aisle to allow for unobstructed access to the designated bicycle parking area.

- Bicycle parking facilities within auto parking facilities shall be protected from damage by cars by a physical barrier such
as curbs, wheel stops, poles, bollards, or other similar features capable of preventing automobiles from entering the designated bicycle parking area.

- Short-term bicycle parking facilities serving community activity centers such as libraries and community centers should incorporate weather-protective enclosures shielding the designated bicycle area from typical inclement weather when feasible.

- Bicycle parking facilities shall be located in highly visible well-lighted areas. In order to maximize security, whenever possible short-term bicycle parking facilities shall be located in areas highly visible from the street and from the interior of the building they serve (i.e., placed adjacent to windows).

- Long-term bicycle parking shall be covered and shall be located on site or within 200 feet of the main building entrance. The main building entrance is defined as publicly accessible entrances and shall exclude gated private garage entrances, trash room entrances, and other building entrances that are not publicly accessible.

- Short-term bicycle parking must be along project frontage and within 50 feet of the main entrance to the building or commercial use or up to 100 feet where existing conditions do not allow placement within 50 feet. It should be in a well-trafficked location visible from the entrance. The main building entrance excludes garage entrances, trash room entrances, and other building entrances that are not publicly accessible.

- If required bicycle parking is not visible from the street or main building entrance, a sign must be posted at the main building entrance indicating the location of the bicycle parking.